SFUND RECORDS CTR 3711-00005

LOIS J. SCHIFFER SFUND RECORDS CTR Assistant Attorney General SDHS# 52412 Environment & Natural Resources Division ROBERT D. BROOK 3 JAMES R. MACAYEAL United States Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division Environmental Enforcement Section P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 6 (202) 514-2738 7 NORA M. MANELLA United States Attorney Central District of California 网271995 LEON W. WEIDMAN Chief, Civil Division KURT ZIMMERMAN 10 CLERK, U.S. BIBARICT COURT CENTRAL INSTRUCT OF CALFORNIA Assistant United States Attorney Room 7516 Federal Building 11 300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 12 (213) 894-0474 13 14 Attorneys for Plaintiff, United States of America 4N = 0 199 LODGED 1 GERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JAN 2 5 1995 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA THIS CONSTITUTES NOTICE OF ENTRY CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIE CV-N-93-4828 BY FRCP, RULE INTO CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN 17(0) UNITED\_STATES! OF AMERICA, 19 Plaintiff, 20 21 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND GERALD A. 22 NIESEN GERALD A. NIESEN, 23 24 Defendant. 25 26 27

1			
2	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
3			
4	I. BACKGROUND		
5	II. JURISDICTION		
6	III. PARTIES BOUND		
7	IV. DEFINITIONS		
8	V. REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS		
9	VI. STIPULATED PENALTIES		
10	VII. COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFF		
11	VIII. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANT		
12	IX. WARRANTY OF SETTLING DEFENDANT		
13	X. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT: CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION 9		
14	XI. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS		
15	XII. EFFECTIVE DATE		
16	XIII. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION		
17	XIV. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
2			

4...

# I. BACKGROUND

WHEREAS, the United States of America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), filed a Complaint against Gerald A. Niesen ("Settling Defendant") pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9607, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-149, 100 Stat. 1613, for the recovery of response costs incurred by the United States and for the recovery of punitive damages for failure to comply with the administrative order issued in connection with the alleged release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the property described as 1335 La Purisima Road, in the city of Lompoc, in Santa Barbara County, California ("the Site");

WHEREAS, the United States has alleged that at all relevant times herein Settling Defendant owned the property which comprises the Lompoc Plating Site;

WHEREAS, the United States has alleged that the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Site has caused the United States to incur response costs;

WHEREAS, the United States has alleged that Settling
Defendant failed to take proper action in accordance with the
unilateral administrative order issued to him by EPA;

WHEREAS, the United States and the Settling Defendant agree, and this Court, by entering this Decree, finds that settlement of

this dispute between them without protracted litigation is in the public interest;

WHEREAS, the Settling Defendant and the United States, by and through their representatives, agree to the execution of this Consent Decree in settlement of the claims against the Settling Defendant alleged by the United States in the complaint;

NOW THEREFORE, without trial, adjudication or admission of any controverted issue of law, fact, or responsibility by the Settling Defendants or the United States.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

#### II. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and has personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendant pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613(b). Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying complaint, the Settling Defendant waives all objections and defenses that he may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in the Central District of California. Settling Defendant shall not challenge this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

#### III. PARTIES BOUND

2. This Consent Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the United States and upon the Settling Defendant and his agents, heirs, successors, and assigns.

#### IV. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

3. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Decree which are defined in CERCLA or in

4.

regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree, the following definition shall apply:

- A. "CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. \$5 9601 et seq., as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, Pub.L. No 99-149, 100 Stat. 1613.
  - B. "Consent Decree" shall mean this Decree.
  - C. The term "days" shall mean calendar days.
- D. "EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies of the United States.
- E. "National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, including, but not limited to, any amendments thereto.
- F. "Parties" shall mean the United States and the Settling Defendant.
  - G. "Plaintiff" shall mean the United States of America.
- H. "Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including but not limited to direct and indirect costs together with accrued interest, that the United States incurred up to the effective date of this Consent Decree in response to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or in connection with the Site, including, but not limited to, payroll costs,

4.

.12

contractor costs, travel costs, laboratory costs, attorneys fees, and the costs of this litigation.

- I. "Settling Defendant" shall mean Gerald A. Niesen.
- J. "Site" shall mean the property described as 1335 La Purisima Road, Lompoc, Santa Barbara County, California.
- K. "United States" shall mean the United States of America.

# V. REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS

# 4. Payment and Interest

The Settling Defendant shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of \$200,000 (the "Settlement Amount"), plus interest calculated under this Consent Decree at the rate specified for interest on investments of the Hazardous Substance Superfund pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for reimbursement of Response Costs incurred by the United States and for punitive damages with respect to the Lompoc Plating Site as specified below. Interest shall begin to accrue on the Settlement Amount as of the date this Consent Decree is entered by the Court.

# A. Payment Plan

Within 30 days of entry of this Consent Decree, Settling
Defendant shall pay the sum of \$20,000 (Twenty Thousand Dollars)
to the United States for punitive damages as a result of Settling
Defendant's failure to take proper action in accordance with the
administrative order issued to Settling Defendant pursuant to
Section 106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C § 9606(a).

Within sixty (60) days of the entry of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall begin making monthly payments of \$700 (Seven Hundred Dollars). Such monthly payments shall be made by the first day of each month following the first \$700 payment. Such monthly payments shall continue to be paid until the full Settlment Amount has been paid as set forth below. All payments by Settling Defendant shall be made by certified or cashier's check to the "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund" and shall be remitted to EPA Region IX, Attention Superfund Accounting, P.O. Box 360863M, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 15251.

The parties agree that Settling Defendant intends to sell the Site. Upon the sale of the Site, payment of the remaining balance owed the United States, plus interest, shall be made directly from escrow from the proceeds of the sale. Within 10 days of receipt of notice from Settling Defendant that the proceeds of the sale of the Site have been deposited in escrow, the United States shall deposit with the escrow for the sale of the Site a release sufficient for recording to release the Superfund lien on the Site. The lien release shall not be released from escrow for recording and filing until payment of the full \$200,000, plus interest, has been made to the United States.

#### B. Payment Deadline

If the Site is not sold within eighteen (18) months of the date of entry of this Consent Decree, then the remaining balance of the Settlement Amount owed the United States, plus interest, shall be due in full within thirty (30) days from this eighteen

- (18) month deadline. Upon a showing by Settling Defendant that he has exercised best efforts to sell the Site and the Site has not been sold by the eighteen (18) month deadline, the United States may extend the time for the payment of the remaining balance owed on the Settlement Amount by six (6) months. The United States' determination regarding Settling Defendant's efforts to sell the Site is not subject to judicial review.
- 5. In the event that the Settling Defendant should fail to pay any sum due and owing under this Consent Decree, then without further order of the Court this Consent Decree shall be considered an enforceable judgment for purposes of post-judgment collection in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 69 and other applicable statutory authority. The parties agree that interest shall continue to accrue on the unpaid balance of any payments required under Paragraph 4 of this Consent Decree at the rate specified in Paragraph 4.
- 6. If the United States brings an action to collect any payment required under Section V, the Settling Defendant shall reimburse the United States for all costs of such action, including but not limited to, attorneys' fees.
- 7. Payments made under Paragraphs 5 and 6 of this Section shall be in addition to such other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiff by virtue of Settling Defendant's failure to make timely payments under Section VI.

#### VI. STIPULATED PENALTIES

8. In the event that the Settling Defendant fails to make the lump sum payment of \$20,000 described in Section V within 30

1 days of the entry of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall pay a penalty of \$500 (Five Hundred Dollars) per day (plus the interest specified in Paragraph 4 of this Decree) for each and every day he fails to make the lump sum payment.

In the event the Settling Defendant fails to make the monthly installment payments described in Paragraph 4 when due Settling Defendant shall pay a penalty of \$250 (Two Hundred Fifty dollars) per day (plus the interest specified in Section V of this Decree) for each and every day that he fails to make a monthly installment payment. Stipulated penalties shall be paid in the manner prescribed in Section V of this Decree for the reimbursement of response costs.

#### VII. COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFF

- Upon receipt by the United States of the payments for Response Costs and punitive damages required by Section V of this Consent Decree and any money owed the United States for Settling Defendant's failure to comply with the terms set forth in this Consent Decree, the United States covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against Settling Defendant under Section 107 of CERCLA to recover Response Costs or punitive damages for failure to comply with the administrative order, except as specifically provided in Paragraphs 10, 11, and 12 of this Section. This covenant not to sue extends only to the Settling Defendant and does not extend to any other person.
- The United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendant with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

following: (A) claims for recovery of any and all costs not included within the covenant not to sue provided in Paragraph 12 of this Section; (B) claims based on a failure by Settling Defendants to meet any requirement of this Consent Decree; (C) liability arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release or threat of release of hazardous substances outside of the Site; (D) liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources; (E) criminal liability; and (F) liability for violations of federal or state law. 

11. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent
Decree, the United States retains all authority and reserves all
rights to take any and all response actions authorized by law.

#### VIII. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANT

agrees not to assert any claims or actions against the United States with respect to the Site or this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through Sections 106(b)(2), 111 or 112, of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606(b)(2), 9611 or 9612, or any other provision of law, any claim against the United States, including any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States under CERCLA Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, related to the Site, or any claims arising out of response activities at the Site.

Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute

preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

#### IX. WARRANTY OF SETTLING DEFENDANT

Settling Defendant warrants that the financial information set forth in the Financial Statement for Individuals, dated May 4, 1993, and attached as Exhibit A, is accurate, and all facts " stated were true as of that date. Settling Defendant further warrants that his financial condition has not materially improved since he submitted the Financial Statement for Individuals dated May 4, 1993, and so those facts, which were truly represented, remain accurate. Settling Defendant warrants that he is unable to pay the sum of \$200,000, in one payment, in settlement of the United States' claims against him in this proceeding. Settling Defendant warrants that he is unable to pay any of the amounts demanded by the United States in this proceeding, other than the amounts agreed to in this Consent Decree, during the agreed time period. Provision of false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or representations to the United States shall make the terms of this Consent Decree null and void and may subject Settling Defendant to criminal penalties under 18 U.S.C. § 1001.

#### X. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT: CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

13. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a party to this Consent Decree. The preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this Consent Decree may have under applicable law. Each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights

27

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a party hereto.
- 14. With regard to claims for contribution against Settling Defendant for matters addressed in this Consent Decree, the Parties hereto agree that, upon receipt by EPA of the payments required by Section V, Paragraph 6 of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant is entitled to such protection from contribution actions or claims as is provided by Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2).
- 15. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States for injunctive relief, recovery of costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Response Costs, Settling Defendant shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, residuicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section VIII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiff).

#### XI. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

16. Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, written notice is required to be given or a document is required

```
1 to be sent by one party to another, it shall be directed to the
   individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those
2
3
   individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the
   other Parties in writing. Written notice as specified herein
5
   shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice
 6
   requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United
7
   States, EPA, and the Settling Defendant, respectively.
8
   As to the United States:
9
   John C. Cruden, Chief
   Environmental Enforcement Section
   Environment and Natural Resources Division
   U.S. Department of Justice
   P.O. Box 7611
11
   Ben Franklin Station
   Washington, D.C. 20044
12
        Re: DOJ Case No: 90-11-2-888
13
14
   As to EPA:
   Brent Maier (H83)
   Waste Management Division
   United States Environmental Protection Agency
16
   Region IX
17
   75 Hawthorne Street
    San Francisco, CA 94103
18
19
   As to Settling Defendants:
    Gerald A. Niesen
20
    481 Oakhill Drive
    Lompoc, CA 93436
21
   Michael A. Francis, Esq.
22
    Demetriou, Del Guercio,
23
      Springer & Moyer
    801 South Grand Avenue
24
    Tenth Floor
    Los Angeles, CA 90017-4613
25
26
27
```

# XII. EFFECTIVE DATE

17. This Consent Decree shall be effective upon the date of entry by the Court except as otherwise provided herein.

### XIII. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

18. This Court retains jurisdiction over both the subject matter of this Consent Decree and the Settling Defendant for the duration of the performance of the terms and provisions of this Consent Decree for the purposes of enabling the Parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or modification of this Consent Decree, or to effectuate or enforce compliance with its terms, or to resolve any disputes arising under this Consent Decree.

# XIV. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

19. Settling Defendant hereby agrees not to oppose entry of this Consent Decree by this Court.

SO ORDERED THIS \_\_\_\_\_ DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_, 1995.

MANUEL L. REAL

Manuel Real United States District Judge

1	We hereby consent to entry of the Consent Decree in the		
2	matter of the <u>United States v. Gerald A. Niesen</u> , Civil Action No.		
3	CV-93-4828R.		
4			
5	FOR PLAINTIFF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:		
		CATALLY COS.	
6		11116	
7	· <u>1701</u>	S/J. SCHIFFER	
8	Àss	istant Attorney General	
9		ironmental Enforcement Section ironment and Natural Resources	
		Division	
10		ted States Department of Justice hington, D.C. 20530	
11		_	
12		14.70	
13		del P Bron	
14		ERT D. BROOK / ironmental Enforcement Section	
	Env	ironment and Natural Resources	
15		Division ted States Department of Justice	
16	. P.O	.Box 7611	
17		hington, D.C. 20044 2) 514-2738	
18	•	A M. MANELLA	
19		ted States Attorney N W. WEIDMAN	
20	λες	istant United States Attorney	
21		ef, Civil Division	
	RV.		
22		art Zemmerman	
23		T ZIMMERMAN istant United States Attorney	
24	Roo	m 7516 Federal Building	
25		North Los Angeles Street Angeles, California 90012	
	<b>,</b>		
26		•	

Regional Administrator United States Environmental Protection Agency Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California FOR DEFENDANT GERALD A. NIESEN: GERALD A. NIESKN 481 Oakhill Drive Lompoc, 2A 93436 

FELICIA MARCUS
Regional Administrator
United States Environmental
Protection Agency
Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, California 94105

FOR DEFENDANT GERALD A. NIESEN:

GERALD A. NIESEN 481 Oakhill Drive Lompoc, CA 93436

# PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAILING

I, Marilen Iliscupides, declare:

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. I am employed by the office of United States Attorney, Central District of California. My business address is 300 North Los Angeles Street, Suite 7516, Los Angeles, California 90012.

On <u>January 25, 1995</u>, I served a copy of: CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND GERALD A. NIESEN

on each person or entity named below by enclosing a copy in an envelope addressed as shown below and placing the envelope for collection and mailing on the date and at the place shown below following our ordinary office practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of this office for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

Date of mailing: <u>January 25, 1995</u>. Place of mailing: <u>Los Angeles, California</u>.

Addressed 10: SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

I certify under penalty of perjuty under the luws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on January 25, 1995 at Los Angeles, California.

Marilen Hiscupiles

# SERVICE LIST

Gregory D. Trimarche, Esq.

DEMETRIOU, DEI. GUERCIO, SPRINGER & MOYER

801 South Grand Avenue, Tenth Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Gerald A. Niesen 481 Oakhill Drive Lompoc, CA 93436

Q

Q

1,